Variability Selected Low Luminosity Active Galactic Nuclei from ASAS-SN Survey

Heechan Yuk, Xinyu Dai, T. Jayasinghe, Hora D. Mishra, Hai Fu, Christopher S. Kochanek, Benjamin J. Shappee, and K. Z. Stanek

hyuk@ou.edu, xdai@ou.edu

Introduction

- Active galactic nuclei (AGN) have variable nature.
- Structure function, the magnitude difference as a function of time lag, can be used to characterize the AGN variability.
- Simulations and observations show that the structure function of AGN follows a power law.
- We searched for AGN candidates by examining the structure function of galaxies that show variability.
- Photometric data of All-Sky Automated Survey for SuperNovae (ASAS-SN) database is used for this study.

Data & Method

- ASAS-SN light curves of 1218 nearby galaxies with SDSS spectra and magnitude g<14 are analyzed. ASAS-SN surveyed the sky using the V-band filter from 2012 to 2018, and then switched to g-band.
- Excess variance, σ_{XS}^2 , is calculated to determine if the target is variable; this is the measurement of the object's intrinsic variability.
- Structure function is calculated to check if the variability is AGN-like, by fitting a power law + constant model.
- SDSS spectra are examined for further



Results

We found that approximately 3% of the sample of galaxies are both variable and have AGN-like structure function.



Magnitude variability distribution of the sample. Red data points are the galaxies with significant variability, and the blue data points also have AGN-like structure function.

Fractional flux variability distribution of the AGN candidates versus absolute magnitude. There seems to be a trend where brighter objects have greater variability.

- Of the 1218 galaxies, 117 show significant variability (88 in V-band, 39 in g-band, 10 in both). The fractional flux variability is in order of $\sim 10^{-2}$.
- Of the 117 variable targets, 35 have AGN-like structure function (34 in V-band, 4 in g-band, 3 in both).
- The Eddington ratios of the AGN candidates are in order $10^{-4} \sim 10^{-2}$, suggesting that they are mostly low luminosity AGN (LLAGN).
- We estimate the mass of the central supermassive black hole using the spheroid luminosity; they follow a power law relationship.
- Eddington ratio is estimated by scaling the fractional variability:





Example SDSS spectra of AGN candidates. NGC 5548: spectrum with clear broad H α emission. NGC 3835: spectrum with narrow a H α emission line, classified as AGN by emission line ratios. NGC 0988: spectrum with a narrow H α emission line, not classified as an AGN given its line ratios. NGC 3102: spectrum with weak or absent H α emission.



BPT diagrams show that $30\% \sim 50\%$ of our AGN candidates are classified as star forming galaxies instead of AGN, by emission line flux ratio method.



Left: Overlapped LLAGN structure functions (grey) and the combined structure function (blue). Right: The combined structure function with the power law + constant model fit. To examine the general properties of LLAGN as a whole, we combined the structure function of all LLAGN. The combined structure function has the power law index of 1.88 ± 0.05 , which is steeper than the expected value from the damped random walk model (~0.50).

Conclusion

Using the variability selection method, we found that $\sim 3\%$ of the sample are AGN, where majority of them are LLAGN, with Eddington ratio ranging from 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} . This method is a very useful tool to find AGN that other methods may classify otherwise; for example, BPT diagrams classify $30\% \sim 50\%$ of the AGN candidates as star forming galaxies. With more LLAGN identified, we may be able to understand them better.

