

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE AlIII $\lambda$ 1860 LINE AS A  
VIRIAL BLACK HOLE MASS ESTIMATOR**

**T. Buendia-Rios<sup>1</sup>, A. Negrete<sup>1</sup> and P. Marziani<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Astronomía, UNAM, México DF. 04510, Mexico*

<sup>2</sup>*INAF, Osservatorio astronomico di Padova, 35122, Padova, Italy*

*E-mail: tbuendia@astro.unam.mx, alenka@astro.unam.mx, paola.marziani@inaf.it*

At low redshift ( $z \leq 0.8$ ) the black hole mass of active galactic nuclei is estimated using  $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta)$ , but as further in redshift we go the options are to follow the  $\text{H}\beta$  line into the infrared or adopt other broad lines in the UV. Previous work found that the intermediate ionization lines ( $\sim 20\text{-}40$  eV) AlIII $\lambda$ 1860 and CIII] $\lambda$ 1909 are probably emitted in a virialized region associated with the production of the Hydrogen Balmer line and of singly-ionised iron FeII ( $n_e \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $U \sim 2$  and  $N_c \geq 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ), present in type-1 quasars.

Taking the previous results into account, we selected a high S/N ( $>20$ ) sample from the SDSS DR16 where AlIII $\lambda$ 1860 and the forbidden line [OII] $\lambda$ 3728 are observed simultaneously ( $z \sim 1.2\text{-}1.4$ ) and a sample with coverage of  $\text{H}\beta$  and CIV $\lambda$ 1549 in order to compare them. A sample with coverage of  $\text{H}\beta$ , AlIII and CIII] supports the usefulness of both AlIII $\lambda$ 1860 and CIII] $\lambda$ 1909 as surrogate virial broadening estimators in place of  $\text{H}\beta$ . However, the AlIII profile shows a blueshift with respect to the quasar rest-frame identified by the [OII] line. This could mean that a mixture of two non-resolved components are present in the nuclei of the quasar: a virialized one plus an outflow. The shifts, although present, are fewer and fainter than the ones observed for CIV in sources of comparable luminosity. The implication is that the AlIII $\lambda$ 1860 and CIII] $\lambda$ 1909 line widths can still be considered as acceptable virial broadening estimators.